10 1 PE 17 2005 PE

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MAIL STOP:

AF

SERIAL NO.

THYES ET AL.

PPLICATION

Confirmation No.:

GROUP ART UNIT:

2952 1625

FILED:

09/889,383 FEBRUARY 05, 2002

EXAMINER:

TAYLOR V. OH

For:

A PROCESS FOR REDUCING THE CONTENT OF ETHYL 3-DIMETHY-LAMINO-2-PHENYLPROPIONATE IN SOLUTIONS OF ETHYL 2-DIME-

THYLAMINO-1-PHENYL-3-CYCLOHEXENE-1-CARBOXYLATE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Alexandria, Va 22313-1450, on:

February 15, 2005

Date of Deposit

Signature

Sabine Berg

Person Making Deposit

February 15, 2005

Date of Signature

Honorable Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## REPLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. \$1.113(c) AND \$1.116

Sir:

In reply to the Office action of November 16, 2004, it is respectfully requested that the following request for reconsideration be entered and considered by the Examiner:

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Claims 1 and 2 as presented by applicants in their reply dated August 20, 2004, are currently pending in this application.

The Examiner reiterated the position that the subject matter of applicants' claims is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. \$103(a) in light of the teaching in GB 1,226,318 giving reasons why applicants' previous arguments were not deemed to be convincing.

The Examiner rejected applicants' argument that GB 1,226,318 failed to motivate a person of ordinary skill in the art to do what applicants' have done because of applicants' acknowledgement that it is desirable to reduce the amount of unwanted by-products. It is respectfully urged that a desirable result alone is not sufficient to motivate a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify any specific

050215